## INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT AHMEDABAD

Shortlisting Criteria for PGP 2023-25 batch
Candidates meeting the following minimum cut-offs have been called for Analytical Writing Test (AWT) \& Personal Interview (PI).

## 1. Preliminary Screening:

Number of candidates across different categories eligible to be considered for subsequent stages as per Table 1 given below:

| Category | CAT | GMAT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General | 16046 | 1 |
| EWS | 858 | - |
| NC-OBC, Transgender | 3451 | - |
| SC | 1320 | - |
| ST | 522 | - |
| PwD | 120 | - |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 3 1 7}$ | 1 |

Table 1: Minimum Cut-offs of CAT-2022 Percentile Ranks \& Cut offs of GMAT scores

| CAT 2022 Percentile Ranks |  |  |  |  | GMAT Scores |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Verbal and Reading Comprehension (PVRC) | Data Interpretation \& Logical Reasoning (PDILR) | Quantitative Aptitude (PQA) | Overall (PT) | Verbal | Quantitative | Total |
| General, EWS | 70 | 70 | 70 | 80 | 40 | 50 | 720 |
| NC-OBC, Transgender | 65 | 65 | 65 | 75 |  |  |  |
| SC | 60 | 60 | 60 | 70 |  |  |  |
| ST | 50 | 50 | 50 | 60 |  |  |  |
| PwD (General, EWS, NC-OBC, Transgender, SC) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 70 |  |  |  |
| PwD (ST) | 50 | 50 | 50 | 60 |  |  |  |

Note: Economicaly Weaker Sections (EWS), Scheduled Castes (SC), Scheduled Tribes (ST), Non-creamy Other Backward Castes (NC-OBC), Person with Disability (PwD)
2. Screening for Analytical Writing Test (AWT) \& Personal Interview (PI)

### 2.1 List 1: Without consideration of bachelor's degree marks (ARS: pro-rated to 35)

Stage 1: Selection of exceptional performers across different disciplines (ACRC Group)
The following table shows the number of applicants and the number of candidates shortlisted for AWT-PI, applying Criteria C1-C2.

| Category | AC-1 (Part-II) |  |  | AC-3 |  |  | AC-4 |  |  | AC-5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of applicants | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { candidates } \\ & \text { selected } \end{aligned}$ | Minimum CS | No. of applicants | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of } \\ \text { candidates } \\ \text { selected } \end{gathered}$ | Minimum CS | No. of applicants | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { candidates } \\ & \text { selected } \end{aligned}$ | Minimum CS | No. of applicants | No. of candidates selected | Minimu m CS |
| General | 301 | 15 | 0.423298 | 66144 | 94 | 0.600013 | 64448 | 148 | 0.654255 | 7295 | 99 | 0.494753 |
| EWS | 20 | 1 | 0.429043 | 4074 | 6 | 0.599937 | 4504 | 2 | 0.655467 | 578 | 1 | 0.593838 |
| NC-OBC, Transgender | 70 | 4 | 0.336257 | 13071 | 57 | 0.477891 | 18838 | 85 | 0.580758 | 1903 | 57 | 0.403111 |
| SC | 50 | 3 | 0.39973 | 5489 | 32 | 0.425303 | 9835 | 48 | 0.519493 | 1020 | 31 | 0.278255 |
| ST | 6 | 0 |  | 1666 | 16 | 0.380546 | 2516 | 24 | 0.496353 | 291 | 15 | 0.266684 |
| PwD | 1 | 0 |  | 398 | 6 | 0.494866 | 405 | 9 | 0.500384 | 69 | 4 | 0.306571 |

## Criteria: C1-C2:

C1. PVRC, PDILR, PQA and PT must be greater than or equal to the minimum cut offs as specified above in Table 1.
C2. $\mathbf{P} \geq$ Minimum cut-offs (specific to the category and the stream as shown in below Table), where $\mathbf{P}$ is the average of the percentages of marks scored in $10^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ std. examinations.

| Stream in 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ std. | General/EWS <br> Category | NC-OBC/ <br> Transgender <br> Category | SC <br> Category | ST <br> Category | PwD (General, <br> NC-OBC/ <br> Transgender, <br> SC) Category | PwD (ST) <br> Category |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Science | 80 | 75 | 70 | 65 | 70 | 65 |
| Commerce | 77 | 72 | 67 | 62 | 67 | 62 |
| Arts \& Humanities | 75 | 70 | 64 | 59 | 64 | 59 |

Stage 1: Selection of exceptional performers across different disciplines (Non-ACRC Group)
The following table shows the number of applicants and the number of candidates shortlisted for AWT-PI, applying Criteria C4-C5.

| Category | AC-1 (Part I) |  |  | AC-2 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of <br> applicants | No. of <br> candidates <br> selected | Minimum <br> CS | No. of <br> applicants | No. of <br> candidates <br> selected | Minimum <br> CS |
| General | 116 | 7 | 0.540328 | 1022 | 54 | 0.539409 |
| EWS | 5 | - |  | 17 | - |  |
| NC-OBC | 18 | - |  | 37 | - |  |
| SC | 16 | 1 | 0.540328 | 5 | - |  |
| ST | - | - |  | 0 | - |  |
| PwD | 1 | - |  | 2 | - |  |

## Criteria C4-C5:

C4. $\mathbf{P V R C} \geq 70$, PDILR $\geq 70$, PQA $\geq 70$ and $\mathbf{P T} \geq 80$.
C5. $\mathbf{P} \geq 80$ (Science), 77 (Commerce), 75 (Arts/Humanities), where $\mathbf{P}$ is the average of the percentages of marks scored in the $10^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ std. examinations.
Stage 2: Category-wise selection (after removing the candidates selected in Stage 1)
The following table shows the number of candidates shortlisted for AWT-PI, fulfilling Criteria C1-C2 described above by drawing a merit list using Composite Score (CS):

| Category | General | EWS | NC-OBC | SC | ST | PwD (Gen) | PwD <br> $($ EWS | PwD (NC- <br> OBC) | PwD (ST) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of <br> candidates | 68 | 13 | 57 | 30 | 32 | 9 | 1 | 11 | 3 |
| Minimum CS | 0.639735 | 0.600929 | 0.558841 | 0.50519 | 0.443281 | 0.463096 | 0.406838 | 0.302414 | 0.346647 |

Calculation of Composite Score (CS):
CS $($ Composite Score $)=0.35($ Normalized Pro-rated AR score $)+0.65$ (Normalized overall score in CAT-2022)
CS $=0.35^{*}($ Pro-rated AR Score $/ 35)+0.65^{*}($ Overall CAT score $/ 198)$
Pro-rated AR Score $=[(\operatorname{AR}$ score computed by taking 0 points for Bachelor's degree $) / 25] * 35$

### 2.2 List 2: With consideration of bachelor's degree marks (ARS: 35)

Stage 1: Selection of exceptional performers across different disciplines (ACRC Group)
The following table shows the number of applicants and the number of candidates shortlisted for AWT-PI, applying Criteria C1-C3.

|  | AC-1 (Part-II) |  |  | AC-3 |  |  | AC-4 |  |  | AC-5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | No. of applicants | No. of candidates selected | Minimum CS | No. of applicants | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { candidates } \\ & \text { selected } \end{aligned}$ | Minimum CS | No. of applicants | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of } \\ \text { candidates } \\ \text { selected } \end{gathered}$ | Minimum CS | No. of applicants | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { candidates } \\ & \text { selected } \end{aligned}$ | Minimu m CS |
| General | 301 | 8 | 0.36199 | 66144 | 97 | 0.588922 | 64448 | 148 | 0.634677 | 7295 | 99 | 0.438139 |
| EWS | 20 | - | - | 4074 | 3 | 0.613838 | 4504 | 2 | 0.65123 | 578 | 1 | 0.46571 |
| NC-OBC, Transgender | 70 | - | - | 13071 | 57 | 0.458972 | 18838 | 85 | 0.539434 | 1903 | 34 | 0.343454 |
| SC | 50 | 2 | 0.41573 | 5489 | 32 | 0.404788 | 9835 | 48 | 0.465111 | 1020 | 8 | 0.288399 |
| ST | 6 | - | - | 1666 | 16 | 0.33121 | 2516 | 24 | 0.405535 | 291 | 3 | 0.337513 |
| PwD | 1 |  | - | 398 | 6 | 0.41278 | 405 | 9 | 0.41271 | 69 | - | - |

## Criteria: C1-C3:

C1. PVRC, PDILR, PQA and PT must be greater than or equal to the minimum cut offs as specified above in Table 1.
C2. $\mathbf{P} \geq$ Minimum cut-offs (specific to the category and the stream as shown in below Table), where $\mathbf{P}$ is the average of the percentages of marks scored in $10^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ std. examinations.

| Stream in $12^{\text {th }}$ std. | General/EWS <br> Category | NC-OBC/ <br> Transgender <br> Category | SC <br> Category | ST <br> Category | PwD (General, <br> NC-OBC/ | PwD (ST) <br> Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  |  |  | Transgender, <br> SC) Category |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Science | 80 | 75 | 70 | 65 | 70 | 65 |
| Commerce | 77 | 72 | 67 | 62 | 67 | 62 |
| Arts \& Humanities | 75 | 70 | 64 | 59 | 64 | 59 |

C3. Percentage of marks in the bachelor's examination $\geq$ Minimum cut-off percentage, to be set at the $80^{\text {th }}$ percentile of the percentage of marks of the respective ACRC group of the applicants' pool (as per the table given below).

| Category | Academic Category |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AC-1 (P-II) | AC-3 | AC-4 | AC-5 |
| General, EWS | 68.92 | 83.37 | 84.5 | 79.95 |
| NC-OBC, <br> Transgender | 68.8 | 81.22 | 82.6 | 77.28 |
| SC | 68.25 | 80.18 | 79.41 | 75.7 |
| ST | 70.56 | 79.9 | 77.67 | 74.06 |
| PwD (all categories) | 99 | 81.15 | 77.2 | 74.84 |

Stage 1: Selection of exceptional performers across different disciplines (Non-ACRC Group)
The following table shows the number of applicants and the number of candidates shortlisted for AWT-PI, applying Criteria C4-C6.

| Category | AC-1 (Part I) |  |  | AC-2 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of <br> applicants | No. of <br> candidates <br> selected | Minimum <br> CS | No. of <br> applicants | No. of <br> candidates <br> selected | Minimum <br> CS |
| General | 116 | 7 | 0.416399 | 1022 | 54 | 0.507594 |
| EWS | 5 | - |  | 17 | - |  |
| NC-OBC | 18 | - |  | 37 | - |  |


| SC | 16 | - |  | 5 | - |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ST | - | - |  | 0 | - |  |
| PWD | 1 | - |  | 2 | - |  |

## Criteria C4-C6:

C4. $\mathbf{P V R C} \geq 70$, PDILR $\geq 70, \mathbf{P Q A} \geq 70$ and $\mathbf{P T} \geq 80$.
C5. $\mathbf{P} \geq 80$ (Science), 77 (Commerce), 75 (Arts/Humanities), where $\mathbf{P}$ is the average of the percentages of marks scored in the $10^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ std. examinations.
C6. Percentage of marks in the bachelor's examination $\geq$ Minimum cut-off percentage, to be set at the $80^{\text {th }}$ percentile of the percentage of marks of the respective AC group of the applicants' pool.

| Academic Category |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| AC-1 (Part I) | AC-2 |
| 68 | 61.5 |

Stage 2: Category-wise selection (after removing the candidates selected in Stage 1)
The following table shows the number of candidates shortlisted for AWT-PI, fulfilling Criteria C1-C2 described above by drawing a merit list using Composite Score (CS):

| Category | General | EWS | NC-OBC | SC | ST | PwD (Gen) | PwD <br> $(E W S))$ | PwD (NC- <br> OBC) | PwD (SC) | PwD (ST) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of <br> candidates | 72 | 17 | 84 | 55 | 44 | 10 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 3 |
| Minimum CS | 0.650134 | 0.600929 | 0.548078 | 0.479134 | 0.425217 | 0.477063 | 0.376838 | 0.263922 | 0.5637 | 0.312647 |

## Calculation of Composite Score (CS):

CS $($ Composite Score $)=0.35($ Normalized AR score $)+0.65($ Normalized overall score in CAT-2022 $)$
$\mathrm{CS}=\left(\mathrm{AR}^{*} 0.35 / 35\right)+\left(\right.$ Overall CAT score $\left.{ }^{*} 0.65 / 198\right)$

For definitions of Academic Category (AC), Application Rating Score (AR) and Normalized AR of an applicant, see Admissions Procedure for PGP 2023-25 batch notified on the PGP admission page of IIMA website.

## Shortlisting of Overseas (GMAT) Indian candidates

Following the criteria C7-C8 as given below, 1 candidate has been shortlisted.
C7. V $\geq 40 ; \mathrm{Q} \geq 50$; TOTAL $\geq 720$
C8. $\mathrm{P} \geq 80$ (Science), 77 (Commerce), 75 (Arts/Humanities)
$\mathbf{P}$ is the average of the percentages of marks scored in $10^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ std. exams.
Calculation of Composite Score (CS):
$\mathrm{CS}=0.35^{*}($ AR Score $/ 35)+0.65^{*}($ Total GMAT score $/ 800)$
3. Total No. of candidates called for AWT-PI after merging List $1 \&$ List 2:

| Category | Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| General | 692 |
| EWS | 28 |
| NC-OBC | 353 |
| SC | 199 |
| ST | 111 |
| PwD | 54 |
| Total | 1437 |

